

OUR TAKE

for health care leaders

Health care needs a digital transformation. Is it ready?

Our take on how health care can become digitally enabled

Published - April 2022 • 20-min read

Health care is behind nearly all other industries on digital transformation. Covid-19 created an opening for change—purchaser demand for digital care skyrocketed and the unsustainability of the industry's digital-averse business models were exposed. Venture investment dollars have flowed freely ever since. Innovators are capitalizing by advancing technologies that could help enable more scalable, proactive, and holistic care. In doing so, they could reshape how we approach existing and emerging challenges to health care's business models, including health equity, senior care, and value-based care.

In other words, the ambition is large, and the stakes are high. Meanwhile, the barriers are many. The vast majority of organizations' incentives run counter to the goals of the most innovative industry players. Industry leaders looking to make health care more digitally enabled will be poorly served by focusing narrowly on their own patients or piece of the care journey. Making health care truly digitally enabled will require changing the incentives that underly industry dynamics altogether.



Table of contents

What is digitally enabled health care? pg. 3

The conventional wisdom pg. 4

Our take pg. 5

1: Promoting health equity pg. 9

2: Caring for an aging population pg. 11

3: Advancing value-based care pg. 13

Parting thoughts pg. 15

Related content pg. 16

What is digitally enabled health care?

An end-to-end, omnichannel health care experience that relies on technology- and data-driven resources to facilitate proactive and holistic interventions and management at scale. Digitally enabled health care inherently advances adjacent goals business leaders across the health care industry share.

The conventional wisdom

Many health care leaders believe Covid-19 created opportunity for health care to become digitally enabled by changing legacy incentives that limited health technology adoption and creation. Their arguments are twofold:

- **Purchasers have seen how convenient and cost-effective health care can be. They will continue to shop for providers and partners that excel digitally.** These purchasers include both consumers—65% of which intend to continue using telehealth after the pandemic is over—and employers—90% of which say they increased their focus on virtual medicine since the pandemic.
- **The pandemic’s disruptive impact on legacy business models, including staffing and payment, will open more organizations to the idea of digital innovation as a solution to these growing challenges.** Many large health systems increasingly view virtual health care companies and large tech companies as risks to their core business: 82% of health systems view virtual care companies as top competitors—nearly as high as the 87% that view other health systems as top competitors.

Many leaders believe this shifting adoption landscape creates a large and growing market for new digital health companies to increase their market share in care delivery. Investors clearly agree, pouring \$29.1B into digital health companies in 2021, compared to just \$8.2B in 2019. Sustained funding at these levels, they believe, will increase the stock of innovative virtual care tools that come to market, furthering health care’s trend toward digital enablement. In time, health care will transform to become a digitally enabled enterprise.

Our take

Innovators in digital health indeed are enjoying newfound tailwinds supporting demand for their products. And many have provided a proof-of-concept for what digitally enabled health care could look like. **Nonetheless, we believe it is dangerous for industry leaders to view current trends in digital health investment and adoption as a true victory in the pursuit of holistic, equitable, and cost-effective digital care for the majority of patients.**

Excessive optimism is dangerous because the stakes are high. As Covid-19 helped demonstrate, health care business models, from staffing to payment to site of care distribution, are strained. Shifting from legacy business models to digitally enabled ones could enable sustainability where traditional approaches have failed. Three challenges particularly stand out to us for the role digital innovation could play in addressing them:

- 1. Promoting health equity:** How can digital health help reduce disparities in care delivery, and ultimately, outcomes?
- 2. Caring for an aging population:** What technologies can help reduce cost of care for the Baby Boomer generation as it ages into Medicare?
- 3. Advancing value-based care:** What tools do clinical and operational leaders need to succeed under risk-based payment models?



OUR TAKE (CONT.)

We believe addressing these and other challenges require creation and adoption of digital tools that meet two requirements:

1. In their *design*, they are scalable, holistic, and targeted at the most complex, high need patients; and
2. In their *adoption*, they are widespread enough to be accessed by a large majority of patients.

Innovative organizations indeed are designing digital tools that satisfy the first requirement. Asynchronous monitoring and care management platforms, for example, enable clinicians to make smarter and faster patient care decisions without needing to see each patient in real time. Yet scalable innovations like asynchronous care are not representative of all emerging digital health tools. Many organizations continue to advance tools that fall short in three ways:

| We too often... | Rather than... | Resulting in... |
|---|---|---|
|  <p>Digitize legacy, in-person processes and pathways</p> | <p>Reinventing them at scale</p> | <p>A stranglehold of the doctor-patient visit that limits adoption of asynchronous care</p> |
|  <p>Solve for low-risk, low-impact challenges</p> | <p>Tackling complex, high-risk patients' challenges</p> | <p>Wasted investment in low-leverage solutions that exacerbate care disparities</p> |
|  <p>Create reactive point solutions to narrow problems</p> | <p>Designing holistic and integrate-able ones</p> | <p>Fragmented patient journey and health care data</p> |

OUR TAKE (CONT.)

Assess the incentives most provider organizations (which care for most patients) operate on, and these shortcomings make sense: value from digital investments is elusive under fee-for-service (FFS) payment because many of the processes and services they aim to eliminate are incremental revenue generators. As a result, many digital innovators design products that fit their customers' FFS-driven business models.

This leaves adoption of the highest leverage digital innovations limited to two groups that combining to own the care of only a small share of patients.

First, large, progressive health systems with payer arms or significant revenue at risk have invested heavily because they reap outsized value from tools that reduce cost of care through early or preventative intervention. Nonetheless, they represent just the upper echelon of health systems. Second, direct-to-consumer digital health providers are eagerly seeking to steal market share from traditional providers by differentiating on a more efficient, consumer-friendly digital care model. But they have won little market share to date. Case in point: 78% of patients receiving virtual care do so from their own provider, compared to just 2% using an app or online service they found.

This innovation and adoption trajectory is unlikely to generate the impact digital-optimist leaders want and the industry needs. On our industry's current path, holistic, scalable, and equitable digital care tools will continue to advance. But those digital tools that are adopted at scale—and therefore, accessible to most patients—will remain focused on supporting, rather than reinventing, the legacy business models under which most organizations continue to operate. The result will be a missed opportunity to transform patient care at scale and further fragmentation of patient care and data across a widening range of uncoordinated provider organizations.



OUR TAKE (CONT.)

Industry leaders instead must advance business models, partnerships, and strategies that align incentives—including those of traditional market players—around adoption of the truly innovative technologies that put us all closer to achieving shared priorities. This will ensure that the benefits of digital health are engrained in the traditional care delivery system, rather than at its edges for only few patients to use.

If the industry embraces digitally enabled health care as such, it can reap outsized benefit in solving challenges that all sectors face. This includes, but is not limited to, the priorities discussed earlier: promoting health equity, caring for an aging population, and advancing value-based care.

The following three sections identify select, high-leverage examples for how digitally enabled health care could help the industry pursue these cross-industry goals. These examples are far from exhaustive. But they are instructive of how making health care more digitally enabled is not a goal unto itself—but rather a tool in our industry’s toolbox as it pursues solutions to its greatest challenges.

01 Promoting health equity

Collecting and analyzing non-clinical data to target interventions

Covid-19 invited much-needed interest among executives in tackling systemic care disparities so they can promote more equitable health outcomes. Health equity interventions must be targeted to a specific patient population or identified disparity likely to meaningfully impact outcomes.

Yet Covid-19 also exposed how much our traditional lack of data collection on health disparities masked our health equity problem. We now know the industry has far less data on social determinants of health than it needs to make process. The root cause problem is that few organizations universally screen for non-clinical needs or stratify their data along demographic lines.

General best practices exist but are blunt tools. Organizations have limited resources, meaning they must collect and analyze data to determine which disparities to target to have an outsized impact for their specific patient populations. Digital tools like electronic health records and virtual touchpoints can help organizations track non-clinical data that can support analyses to uncover disparities at specific organizations.

1. PROMOTING HEALTH EQUITY

Start by collecting REGAL data:

- Race
- Ethnicity
- Gender and sexual orientation
- Age
- Language

Then expand collection to more detailed domains:

- Disability status
- Geography
- Highest level of education attainment
- Insurance status
- Religion
- Socioeconomic status
- Veteran status
- ZIP code

Using machine learning to make treatment pathways more precise and equitable

Progressive organizations are beginning to use their rich, non-clinical data to power machine learning models that identify and address care disparities. For example, a group of researchers used a machine learning algorithm to prove that traditional radiographic severity measures were missing certain knee pain features of Black patients—who experienced 75% worse knee pain than non-Black patients, at the median. The researchers trained their model to identify patients with severe pain based on radiographic imaging that was missed by traditional grading systems. The model helped prevent Black patients from being overlooked as candidates for surgery—22% of Black patients were eligible for surgery based on the researchers' model, compared to 11% using traditional grading.

Adoption of machine learning models for health equity use cases are limited currently but can begin to generate outsized impact as their developers overcome legacy barriers like training data availability and representativeness.

02 Caring for an aging population

Industry leaders have long been concerned about how sustainable our legacy senior care model—often viewed as costly and unprofitable—will be as the Baby Boomer generation ages into Medicare. With each passing year, population aging becomes a more acute problem—the 85+ age group will nearly double as a share of the population between 2025 and 2050, according to MedPAC. This growth will only exacerbate rising spending and the looming insolvency of the Medicare Trust Fund, which is projected to occur in 2026.

An opportunity is emerging for digital health tools to drive outsized impact on this challenge by bending this cost curve and helping seniors fulfill their growing desire to age at home. Two types of digital tools could have especially high leverage in helping industry leaders achieve these goals:

Tools that enable remote patient monitoring

Most simplistically, virtual visits can help physicians monitor their patients without them needing to travel to the office or hospital site. Wearable devices (e.g., smart blood pressure monitors), meanwhile, can impact chronic condition management by enabling providers to track their patients' key health metrics on an ongoing basis. This allows for more targeted, and ideally, preventative, interventions.

Virtual visits and remote monitoring are especially impactful under hospital at home models, which have grown significantly in the last few years. For hospital at home care, telemedicine and remote monitoring give the care team insight into the patient's condition without requiring their physical presence in the patient's home.

2. CARING FOR AN AGING POPULATION

Tools that enable aging in place

Seniors overwhelmingly prefer to age at home—90% of seniors prefer to remain in their homes instead of moving to an assisted living facility. Doing so safely and effectively currently requires significant caregiver support. Technology can help offload certain caregiver tasks to make it more accessible. A variety of technologies can play a role:

- **Non-clinical tools:** tools that ensure the home is safe for seniors, such as apps and devices that can turn off your stove or alert caregivers that help is needed.
- **Clinical tools:** tools that ensure adherence to medication use and care protocols, such as pill boxes that remind seniors to take their medicine.
- **Social tools:** tools that connect seniors to social services that can provide additional, non-clinical support.

03 Advancing value-based care

Success in risk-based payment models can improve quality and reduce cost—but only if providers have access to the data and tools they need to identify and act upon opportunities for quality improvement and savings. Digitally enabled health care can support this effort by improving patient risk stratification and creating data clinicians can use to make more proactive care decisions for their patients.

Risk stratification

Risk stratification helps providers identify how to allocate resources across different subgroups of patients with similar complexity and needs, enabling rightsized care and lower overall cost. To stratify risk, provider must have access to longitudinal and comprehensive data on their patient populations. This process is most effective when providers standardize their data collection to ease analysis with tools like electronic health records and when they partner with other industry sectors to access new datasets (e.g., pharmacy claims) or combine their datasets (e.g., medical claims and clinical records).

Some progressive organizations are even bolstering risk stratification by incorporating non-clinical data—like credit reports and social determinants of health data—that add depth to patients' future likelihood of requiring services. Using non-traditional data to achieve predictive risk stratification, in turn, enables providers to provide preventative care that prevents health challenges before they arise.

3. ADVANCING VALUE-BASED CARE

Digital health-enabled data for clinical decision making


Wearable devices can help clinicians make more proactive and accurate care decisions by providing an ongoing look at the patient's condition. This can allow clinicians to adapt patients' care plans in real time to meet their current needs, rather than needing to rely on data reflecting a snapshot of the patient's condition at the time of a visit. In turn, this smarter care management can improve quality and reduce cost of treating chronic diseases under value-based payment.

Parting thoughts

Allowing new market entrants to drive digital innovation while many traditional organizations maintain legacy models will generate a true cost: further fragmentation of an already complex care delivery landscape. Today, it is increasingly the patient's responsibility to navigate this landscape despite a growing number of providers and organization owning different pieces of their care journey. This could exacerbate care disparities between those with the resources to effectively navigate the market and those without. At the same time, it will inhibit collection of comprehensive, longitudinal patient datasets that are needed to power many of the digital health and risk stratification models many industry sectors rely on.




The full range of health care organizations must be incentivized to adopt scalable digital tools for health care to become truly digitally enabled. To achieve this reality, leaders advancing digital solutions to health care challenges must ask themselves two questions:

1. Does our core business model accommodate the realities that drive adoption decisions for the full range of customer and partner organizations?
2. Does our solution maintain a focus on scalable, efficient, and cost-effective support for high need patients in the process?

Not every digital health solution will or should satisfy both ideals—but the more that do will further our industry's progress toward reaping the benefits that digitally enabled health care offers. 

Related content

Advisory Board resources

-  ON-DEMAND WEBINAR
2022 Digital health trends
[Read now](#)
-  OUR TAKE
How AI and automation can help
clinicians practice at top of license
[Read now](#)
-  RESOURCE LIBRARY
Digital health resource library
[Read now](#)

Project director

Rob Ryan

ryanro@advisory.com

Research team

Eunice Jeong

Katie Schmalkuche

Program leadership

John League

LEGAL CAVEAT

Advisory Board has made efforts to verify the accuracy of the information it provides to members. This report relies on data obtained from many sources, however, and Advisory Board cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information provided or any analysis based thereon. In addition, Advisory Board is not in the business of giving legal, medical, accounting, or other professional advice, and its reports should not be construed as professional advice. In particular, members should not rely on any legal commentary in this report as a basis for action, or assume that any tactics described herein would be permitted by applicable law or appropriate for a given member's situation. Members are advised to consult with appropriate professionals concerning legal, medical, tax, or accounting issues, before implementing any of these tactics. Neither Advisory Board nor its officers, directors, trustees, employees, and agents shall be liable for any claims, liabilities, or expenses relating to (a) any errors or omissions in this report, whether caused by Advisory Board or any of its employees or agents, or sources or other third parties, (b) any recommendation or graded ranking by Advisory Board, or (c) failure of member and its employees and agents to abide by the terms set forth herein.

Advisory Board and the "A" logo are registered trademarks of The Advisory Board Company in the United States and other countries. Members are not permitted to use these trademarks, or any other trademark, product name, service name, trade name, and logo of Advisory Board without prior written consent of Advisory Board. All other trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos used within these pages are the property of their respective holders. Use of other company trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos or images of the same does not necessarily constitute (a) an endorsement by such company of Advisory Board and its products and services, or (b) an endorsement of the company or its products or services by Advisory Board. Advisory Board is not affiliated with any such company.

IMPORTANT: Please read the following.

Advisory Board has prepared this report for the exclusive use of its members. Each member acknowledges and agrees that this report and the information contained herein (collectively, the "Report") are confidential and proprietary to Advisory Board. By accepting delivery of this Report, each member agrees to abide by the terms as stated herein, including the following:

1. Advisory Board owns all right, title, and interest in and to this Report. Except as stated herein, no right, license, permission, or interest of any kind in this Report is intended to be given, transferred to, or acquired by a member. Each member is authorized to use this Report only to the extent expressly authorized herein.
2. Each member shall not sell, license, republish, or post online or otherwise this Report, in part or in whole. Each member shall not disseminate or permit the use of, and shall take reasonable precautions to prevent such dissemination or use of, this Report by (a) any of its employees and agents (except as stated below), or (b) any third party.
3. Each member may make this Report available solely to those of its employees and agents who (a) are registered for the workshop or membership program of which this Report is a part, (b) require access to this Report in order to learn from the information described herein, and (c) agree not to disclose this Report to other employees or agents or any third party. Each member shall use, and shall ensure that its employees and agents use, this Report for its internal use only. Each member may make a limited number of copies, solely as adequate for use by its employees and agents in accordance with the terms herein.
4. Each member shall not remove from this Report any confidential markings, copyright notices, and/or other similar indicia herein.
5. Each member is responsible for any breach of its obligations as stated herein by any of its employees or agents.
6. If a member is unwilling to abide by any of the foregoing obligations, then such member shall promptly return this Report and all copies thereof to Advisory Board.



655 New York Avenue NW, Washington DC 20001
202-266-5600 | [advisory.com](https://www.advisory.com)