

ARCHETYPE

for suppliers and service providers

Physician series | Volume 3 of 5

National Medical Group Franchise

Published –July 24, 2020 • 15-min read

Key takeaways

- A national medical group franchise is a for-profit entity, not owned by a hospital or health system, that directly delivers patient care
- Organizations operate in a variety of reimbursement models including traditional fee for service, concierge medicine and value-based contracts.
- Successful national medical group franchises win market share through cost-efficient care and by becoming a preferred provider for care delivery in targeted markets.
- Health plans and private funders are investing in national medical group franchises, fueling rapid growth.



PHYSICIAN ARCHETYPE SERIES

VOLUME 1 [Read here](#)

Physician-Owned Medical Group

Preview: A medical group that is wholly or majority physician owned, physician-governed, and not operated by a hospital, insurance company, or practice management company

VOLUME 2 [To come](#)

Enablement Partner

Preview: A private corporation that provides centralized, scalable business resources through a subscription service or investment in a medical group’s managed service organization (MSO)

VOLUME 3

National Medical Group Franchise

Preview: A national, for-profit care delivery organization that is not owned by a hospital or health system

VOLUME 4 [Read here](#)

Virtual Care Organization

Preview: A care delivery organization that only offers telemedicine services

VOLUME 5 [Read here](#)

Health System-Owned Medical Group

Preview: A single or multi-specialty group of physicians employed by a hospital or health system



Physician Archetype Series Comparison Chart

[Read here](#)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Who they are pg. 3

What makes them different pg. 5

Key decision-makers pg. 6

Three market trends to watch pg. 8

Related content pg. 12

Who they are

A national medical group franchise is a for-profit entity not owned by a hospital or health system that directly delivers patient care. National medical group franchises operate in more than one market and are actively looking to grow their footprint. They often receive funding from private equity/venture capital organizations or a large payer.

National medical group franchises can be single specialty (most often primary care), or multispecialty. Multispecialty organizations employ providers to deliver care in owned or affiliated ambulatory surgery, imaging, lab, or infusion centers for high volume, low-acuity outpatient services.

How do these groups make money?

National medical group franchises provide patient care and payers for services. Some organizations operate a traditional a fee-for-service business model, while others use alternate business models including:

- Concierge medicine (e.g. OneMedical). In this model, a patient pays a membership fee to access care with the group and physicians bill payers for services on a fee for service basis. These medical groups compete on access, offering same day appointments and direct communication with providers
- Value-based contracts with health plans to take on full risk for Medicare Advantage patients (e.g. ChenMed). In this model, the organization uses low panel sizes and high-touch care coordination to reduce costs for poly-chronic, high-risk patients.

What's the group's relationship with physicians?

National medical group franchises employ physicians to deliver care. They acquire physician-owned medical groups and/or directly recruit physicians.

Physician compensation structure varies between groups however most use a combination of salary and performance-based bonus. For those groups heavily invested in value-based contracts, physicians are measured on their ability to control costs for patients. Other groups may offer equity or productivity enhancements to drive high quality care.

What makes them different

National medical group franchises operate in multiple markets in contrast to the many health system and physician-owned groups whose operations are often limited to regional or local service areas. Corporate leaders set group priorities at the national level, while regional leaders are tasked with implementation. As a result, individual physicians at any given practice are more likely to be influenced national (as opposed to regional) standards for operational and clinical decision-making compared to physicians in other entities.

Other physician entities may have more targeted, market specific or hospital-led priorities. National franchise groups, however, are focused on cost-efficient care delivery as the primary differentiator driving franchise growth. They also have the flexibility to prioritize attractive markets for entrance and further investment.

Key decision-makers

What is the group's governance structure?

National medical group franchises are centrally governed. The corporate team develops a specific care model which trickles down to individual locations. Though exact models vary, they often include a Chief Medical Officer on their corporate executive team. This individual designs and implements clinical programs.

As these organizations grow, they may create regional structures. In this model, regional medical directors oversee clinical standards and care delivery for a particular part of the country. For the largest groups, they may add an additional level of a site medical director, a physician who spends 10-30% of time on leadership and governance. These regional and site medical directors often have voting rights or input into the group's care standards and may be able to set certain standards for their own group. They are then responsible for implementing these care standards.

How much autonomy do physicians have in purchasing decisions?



LOW

Individual physicians have little autonomy in purchasing as centralized medical boards or leadership make these decisions. Standardization and brand are important to national medical group franchise leadership and they want to ensure that the patient experience remains consistent across locations. Leadership looks to consistency from facility design and furniture selection to medical product selection.

How much autonomy do physicians have in clinical standardization efforts?



LOW

Clinical standards are set by central leadership, with little autonomy for individual locations and physicians. Decisions are informed by centralized data and analytics platforms that compare metrics and performance across sites.

Three market trends to watch

01

TREND

Franchises target specific markets with the best growth opportunities

02

TREND

Groups focus on either specialization or scale

03

TREND

Continued investment by payers and private funders

01 Franchises target specific markets with the best growth opportunities

Successful national medical group franchises win market share through cost-efficient and preferred care delivery in targeted markets. They evaluate the level of provider consolidation, payer mix, shift to risk and patient access needs to identify the best opportunity to carve out a growing portion of the market. Nearly all look for markets that are not heavily consolidated by providers but are high cost, so there is room to compete for volumes currently held by acute care and other health system-affiliated facilities.

These organizations do not deploy a uniform approach across the country. Instead, they adapt to specific market factors when deciding where to expand. Depending on the specific patient population that the organization is targeting, they may also look at payer-specific factors. Those organizations who are looking to take on full risk will look for markets with high Medicare Advantage enrollment rates. Organizations who are looking to attract commercial patients will look for those markets with a higher percentage of patients on commercial plans and growing younger populations.

02 Groups focus on either specialization or scale

National medical group franchises make a deliberate decision to either specialize in niche populations or scale their services to reach as many patients as possible. These distinctions, particularly prevalent in the primary care space, make these organizations different from those that seek to serve all patients through the same channel. Organizations that seek specialization, like ChenMed or Oak Street, typically aim to serve those with multiple chronic conditions. Those that look to scale services, like Crossover Health or OneMedical, leverage advanced practice providers and technology to manage larger patient panels.

Both care and business models differ due to organizations' focus

Focus on specialization



High-touch management

Coordinate care for complex chronic care patients



Control total costs

Destroy demand for hospitalizations, ED visits, and specialty care referrals to profit from risk contracts

Focus on scale



Convenient access

Provide low-cost access for generally healthy patients



Enhance efficiency


Improve productivity of clinical workforce to profit from primary care itself

← *Care model* →

← *Business model* →

03 Continued investment by payers and private funders

Rapid growth in this space is fueled by both health plan investment in patient care and investment by private funders interested in new care delivery models. The past few years have seen many new medical group franchises entering the health care market. Some of this growth is due to health plan investment in care delivery as plans look to grow their ambulatory care presence. Their hope is to both drive volumes to grow revenues from non-plan business but also to push their plan members to lower-cost care sites when possible.

In addition to this vertical integration activity by health plans, there has also been tremendous investment in these medical group franchises by venture capital funds and private equity. For example, Iora Health, a primary care operator, had received over \$340 million of funding as of February 2020. Funders in this space see particularly see primary care as a place for innovation and an opportunity to bring down costs of health care. 

Related content

Advisory Board resources

-  RESEARCH REPORT
The Future of Primary Care
[Read now](#)
-  RESEARCH REPORT
Innovative Disruptors in Care Delivery
[Read now](#)

Project director

Miriam Sznycer-Taub
sznycerm@advisory.com

Program leadership

Madhavi Kasinadhuni

Design consultant

Kevin Matovich

LEGAL CAVEAT

Advisory Board has made efforts to verify the accuracy of the information it provides to members. This report relies on data obtained from many sources, however, and Advisory Board cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information provided or any analysis based thereon. In addition, Advisory Board is not in the business of giving legal, medical, accounting, or other professional advice, and its reports should not be construed as professional advice. In particular, members should not rely on any legal commentary in this report as a basis for action, or assume that any tactics described herein would be permitted by applicable law or appropriate for a given member's situation. Members are advised to consult with appropriate professionals concerning legal, medical, tax, or accounting issues, before implementing any of these tactics. Neither Advisory Board nor its officers, directors, trustees, employees, and agents shall be liable for any claims, liabilities, or expenses relating to (a) any errors or omissions in this report, whether caused by Advisory Board or any of its employees or agents, or sources or other third parties, (b) any recommendation or graded ranking by Advisory Board, or (c) failure of member and its employees and agents to abide by the terms set forth herein.

Advisory Board and the "A" logo are registered trademarks of The Advisory Board Company in the United States and other countries. Members are not permitted to use these trademarks, or any other trademark, product name, service name, trade name, and logo of Advisory Board without prior written consent of Advisory Board. All other trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos used within these pages are the property of their respective holders. Use of other company trademarks, product names, service names, trade names, and logos or images of the same does not necessarily constitute (a) an endorsement by such company of Advisory Board and its products and services, or (b) an endorsement of the company or its products or services by Advisory Board. Advisory Board is not affiliated with any such company.

IMPORTANT: Please read the following.

Advisory Board has prepared this report for the exclusive use of its members. Each member acknowledges and agrees that this report and the information contained herein (collectively, the "Report") are confidential and proprietary to Advisory Board. By accepting delivery of this Report, each member agrees to abide by the terms as stated herein, including the following:

1. Advisory Board owns all right, title, and interest in and to this Report. Except as stated herein, no right, license, permission, or interest of any kind in this Report is intended to be given, transferred to, or acquired by a member. Each member is authorized to use this Report only to the extent expressly authorized herein.
2. Each member shall not sell, license, republish, or post online or otherwise this Report, in part or in whole. Each member shall not disseminate or permit the use of, and shall take reasonable precautions to prevent such dissemination or use of, this Report by (a) any of its employees and agents (except as stated below), or (b) any third party.
3. Each member may make this Report available solely to those of its employees and agents who (a) are registered for the workshop or membership program of which this Report is a part, (b) require access to this Report in order to learn from the information described herein, and (c) agree not to disclose this Report to other employees or agents or any third party. Each member shall use, and shall ensure that its employees and agents use, this Report for its internal use only. Each member may make a limited number of copies, solely as adequate for use by its employees and agents in accordance with the terms herein.
4. Each member shall not remove from this Report any confidential markings, copyright notices, and/or other similar indicia herein.
5. Each member is responsible for any breach of its obligations as stated herein by any of its employees or agents.
6. If a member is unwilling to abide by any of the foregoing obligations, then such member shall promptly return this Report and all copies thereof to Advisory Board.



655 New York Avenue NW, Washington DC 20001
202-266-5600 | [advisory.com](https://www.advisory.com)